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1 Introduction to the region

The Basque Country is an autonomous region in Northern Spain with a population of 2.19 million, configured by three constituent provinces: Áraba, Biscay and Gipuzkoa. The autonomous government is based on the Statute of Autonomy of the Basque Country (1979). As such, the Basque Ministry for Health oversees policy-planning, financing and contracting of health services; the Ministry for Employment and Social Affairs defines the social policies, whilst the contracting of social services is done by the Provincial Councils and municipalities. The process of commissioning and funding of the Ministry for Health defines the type and volume of activity and the founders of the care providers. This relationship is expressed in Law 8/1997, 26 June on Health Regulation in the Basque Country and is articulated through the Framework Contract with the public provider, Osakidetza. A minor part of the activity (elective surgery mainly) is outsourced to private providers.

1.1 Introduction to the regional healthcare system

The Basque health system is a Beveridge system working to improve the health status of the population and it is funded by taxes. The health system governs and funds the public healthcare provider, “Osakidetza - Servicio Vasco de Salud”; organisations in charge of biomedical research and innovation (BIOEF); and health services research in chronicity (KRONIKGUNE). According to Eustat1 (Basque Institute of Statistics), the total public health budget in 2016 was €3.4 mil. which constitutes more than 30% of the Basque government’s total budget. It has a structural workforce of 26,000 people and 7,000 people on temporary contracts. Healthcare professionals are public employees.

The Basque health system is composed of 13 Integrated Care Organisations (ICOs). These include 324 primary care centres, 11 acute hospitals (4,100 beds), 4 sub-acute hospitals (500 beds), 4 psychiatric hospitals (777 beds) and 2 contracted long-term mental health hospitals. The ICOS have been established to integrate primary and specialised care into one single organisation, trying to create synergies between the different levels of care.

1.2 Definition of integrated care

A clear strategic vision has been developed by the Basque Government in relation to the challenges of ageing, chronicity and dependency and this has provided explicit support, leadership and capacities to transform the health and social care system and integrated care in the Basque Country. Osakidetza has reinforced and extended this integrated approach. As a result, a number of processes and tools have been developed and implemented to support the integration of health and social care system. These include:

- Person-centred approach to health and care delivery
- An integrated response to ageing, chronicity and dependence
- Culture of prevention and health promotion
- Ensure the sustainability of the system
- Promote the empowerment of the citizens

---

1 http://www.eustat.eus/indice.html
Prominence and involvement of professionals
- Strengthening of research and innovation.

A plan to achieve integrated care has been launched and the concept of ICOs has been introduced to address the consequences of fragmentation and lack of coordination between different levels of care. The objective has been to achieve less fragmented, more coordinated, efficient and higher quality care delivery.

Given the unique government arrangements of the Basque Country, the social, health and community ecosystem is highly complex and requires extensive coordination efforts to ensure the best care. The Basque Strategy on Ageing 2015-2020 has established an interdepartmental government body to guarantee mainstreaming among health and social providers in order to foster integrated and coordinated care delivery.

Integrated care in the Basque Country is mainly based on three pillars:
- Integrated governance that establishes the agents that participate in the organisation and provision of integrated care services, including the way services and departments are organised to manage the care process.
- Population approach, which implies coordination with social and public health agents. At present, efforts are being made to extend the integrated electronic health record "Osabide" in all nursing homes; develop primary health and social care teams in all the ICOs; and implement initiatives such as "InterRAI CA" that seek to ensure the interoperability of health and social information systems.
- Culture and values that imply a change from the culture of fragmentation to a culture of integration.

2 Self-assessment process in the Basque Country

2.1 Identification process of the local stakeholders

The Basque Country’s local stakeholders were identified with the support of the Integration and Chronicity Service of Osakidetza. A multi-disciplinary and multi-level group of experts in healthcare integration was selected to assess the maturity of the region for the adoption of integrated care.

The profiles of the local stakeholders are provided in the Table below:

Table 1: Stakeholders in the Basque Country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insurance &amp; Procurement unit’s technical</td>
<td>Basque Health Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health &amp; social care Coordinator</td>
<td>Basque Health Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Director of an ICO</td>
<td>Osakidetza</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


After inviting the local stakeholders to participate in the self-assessment process, an introductory meeting was carried out by Kronikgune to provide the experts with further information about the European Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing (EIP on AHA); the SCIROCCO project; the self-assessment tool and the self-assessment process; and the scope of the integrated care to be assessed in the Basque Country. The meeting took place on 13 June 2017 in Kronikgune’s headquarters.

2.2 Self-assessment survey

In order to capture experts’ individual perceptions and opinions on the maturity level of the Basque health system to adopt integrated care, all selected participants were invited to:

- Register on the SCIROCCO Tool’s web page which was translated into Spanish
- Perform the individual self-assessment
- Share their self-assessment outcomes with Kronikgune

All stakeholders responded the online survey in the period of one week between 16 – 21 June 2017.

2.2.1 Outcomes of the self-assessment survey

All stakeholders responded to the survey; however, they did not all provide written justifications of their ratings. Nevertheless, the experts were asked to bring their justifications to the consensus-building workshop and discuss their perceptions with the rest of the group.
The following spider diagrams reflect the diversity of the stakeholders’ perceptions on the maturity of the Basque health system for integrated care.

1. Insurance & Procurement Unit, Basque Health Department

2. Health & Social care Coordinator, Basque Health Department

3. Economic Director of an ICO, Osakidetza

4. Director of Integration of an ICO, Osakidetza

5. Deputy Director of Quality and Information services, General Directorate of Osakidetza

6. Integration and chronicity service’s technician, General Directorate of Osakidetza
After the stakeholders filled in their individual questionnaires, the mean and dispersion have been analysed for each one of the dimensions of the maturity model.

**Figure 2: Mean and dispersion of the individual questionnaires’ scores by each dimension**
The highest mean values were given to the dimensions of Breadth of Ambition and Population Approach. The lowest mean values were given to the domains of Finance and Funding and Removal of Inhibitors. The dispersion for each dimension was analysed by calculating the standard deviation. The dimensions with the greatest variability were Finance & Funding (sd = 1.1), Population approach (sd = 1.1), Structure & Governance (sd = 0.9), and Removal of Inhibitors (sd = 0.9). In contrast, the dimensions with smallest variability were Readiness to Change (sd = 0.4), Citizen Empowerment (sd = 0.5), Evaluation Methods (sd = 0.5) and Breadth of Ambition (sd = 0.5).

The dimensions that presented the greater variability reflected the disparity of opinions among the stakeholders. This may have been due to:
a) the complexity of the dimensions;
b) the interpretation of what is described in the dimension is different among the stakeholders, although their opinion does not differ so much;
c) the differences in stakeholders’ perspectives.

### 2.3 Stakeholder workshop

A follow up workshop was organised by Osakidetza and facilitated by Kronikgune on 22 June 2017. The objective of the workshop was to discuss the preliminary findings of the self-assessment survey in the region and seek a multi-stakeholder understanding of the maturity of the healthcare system for integrated care in the Basque Country. The outcomes of the self-assessment survey served as the basis for the multi-stakeholder discussion, negotiation and consensus-building. The workshop was held in Spanish and the local SCIROCCO project managers translated the outcomes of the workshop into English afterwards. Nine of the ten stakeholders who completed the online self-assessment participated in the workshop.

#### Table 2: Agenda for the self-assessment workshop

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09.30</td>
<td>Welcome, Meeting Objectives &amp; Methodology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Presentation of the first individual spider diagram results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Split stakeholders into two working groups, and selection of a representative for each one.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.45</td>
<td>Negotiation &amp; Consensus Building in the two working groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Facilitated discussion on the outcomes of the self-assessment process for the region in the two groups, and reach an agreement resulting in a group-diagram.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>Coffee break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>Negotiation &amp; Consensus Building. Final diagram for the Basque country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Presentation of the agreed group-diagrams to the whole group by the representatives of each group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Agreement on the final diagram of the Basque Country. Consensus on the final scoring per each dimension, including the rationale for scoring.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>Reflection of the stakeholders on the self-assessment process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Moderated discussion on the experience of local stakeholders with the self-assessment process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.25</td>
<td>Conclusion and next steps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3.1 Negotiation and consensus building

After a short introduction to the workshop, the local stakeholders were grouped into two teams to ensure discussions and sharing of opinions among all participants. The objective was to reach a consensus across all 12 dimensions of the SCIROCCO tool and to create a final spider diagram in each of the two groups. A method to avoid disagreement was proposed to facilitate the discussions; if there was no agreement on the final score of a dimension, the scoring with the majority of the votes was chosen.

During the small group negotiations and consensus building, each stakeholder presented their spider diagram to their peers and shared their scores and justifications for each dimension. Both groups reached consensus in about one hour and half. Negotiation was straightforward, amiable and fast.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1 Consensus diagram</th>
<th>Group 2 Consensus diagram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

![Figure 4: Both groups’ consensus diagrams](image_url)
After a coffee break, both groups came together to reach a final consensus and provide justifications for the final scoring. A spokesperson for each group presented the agreed small group diagrams and the differences in scoring were discussed by all participants. The dimensions of Structure and Governance, Funding, Breadth of Ambition and Population Approach were mostly discussed. After an hour, a consensus was reached and final spider diagram addressing the maturity of the Basque Country to adopt integrated care was uploaded into the online SCIROCCO Tool.

### 2.3.2 Final consensus

The final spider diagram below shows the maturity of the Basque healthcare system for integrated care, including the areas identified for improvement. The local stakeholders reached consensus across a number of dimensions such as Readiness to Change, Structure and Governance, eHealth Services, Population Approach and Breadth of Ambition. In contrast, the domains of Innovation Management, Funding, Capacity Building and Standardisation proved to be more challenging to reach the consensus (see Figure 5).

![Figure 5: Basque Country's final consensus diagram](image)

**Table 3: Scores, justifications and reflections assigned to each of the dimensions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Assessment scale</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Features of the Basque Country’s healthcare system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Readiness to Change</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Vision or plan embedded in policy; leaders and champions emerging</td>
<td>Integration policies in the Basque Country are clearly defined, but change management is poorly implemented. There is Integrated Care Management Plan in place and incorporated into the policies and structures but partially implemented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure &amp; Governance</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Governance established at a regional or national level</td>
<td>There is a clear roadmap to change to an integrated system. The healthcare system is driving the change but the progress is hampered, as the health and social departments are managed independently.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information &amp; eHealth Services</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>ICT and eHealth services to support integrated care are planned and deployed widely at large scale but use of these services is not mandated</td>
<td>There is a wide development of eHealth services for the healthcare professionals but not so much for citizens; Currently, the eHealth structure consists of Integrated Health Record,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Self-assessment process in Basque Country

### Features of the Basque Country’s healthcare system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Assessment scale</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Features of the Basque Country’s healthcare system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standardisation &amp; Simplification</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A recommended set of agreed information standards at regional/national level; some shared procurements of new systems at regional/national level; some large-scale consolidations of ICT underway</td>
<td>Broad development of corporate platforms e.g. databases, platforms for clinical history, public procurement of Innovative solutions; ICT standardisation is still in process, lack of sufficient solutions and initiatives to integrate social and health sectors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Funding</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Regional/national (or European) funding or PPP for scaling-up is available</td>
<td>Insufficient funding for integration agenda; Osakidetza’s Framework Programme devotes only 5% of the budget to integrated care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Removal of Inhibitors</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Implementation Plan and process for removing inhibitors have started being implemented locally</td>
<td>From a legal and structural point of view, implementation plan and process for removing inhibitors is in place but from cultural point of view the implementation is still lacking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population Approach</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Population-wide risk stratification started but not fully acted on</td>
<td>The whole population has been stratified based on their morbidity risk. The socio-health stratification is not implemented. Frailty is not considered in the current risk stratification, but there is a deprivation index in place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citizen Empowerment</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Citizens are consulted on integrated care services and have access to health information and health data</td>
<td>It is important to recognise the dichotomy between patient and citizen. Patients with high burden disease(s) are highly empowered which is not necessarily truth for the citizens. The citizens do not participate to the co-creation of care delivery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evaluation Methods</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Some integrated care initiatives and services are evaluated as part of a systematic approach</td>
<td>The &quot;Framework Programme&quot; is the evaluation tool for integrated care, using the questionnaires such as D’amour⁶ and IEMAC⁷. Some other initiatives have been considered but they are not in place. The Framework Programme includes social and health indicators, but there are no indicators related to the third sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Breadth of Ambition</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Integration includes both social care service and health care service needs</td>
<td>Health and social services are the responsibility of different governance levels. Once a complete structural integration is accomplished, a functional integration, including health and social coordination is expected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Innovation Management</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Innovations are captured and there are some mechanisms in place to encourage knowledge transfer</td>
<td>There is a research and innovation strategy in place; bottom-up approach to promote innovation, in some of ICOs innovation units have been created. Innovation is supported directly by the Health Department, BIOEF and Kronikgune. However, the innovation management is not fully systematised.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capacity Building</strong></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Systematic learning about integrated care and change management is in place but not widely implemented</td>
<td>Integrasarea⁸ and the Framework Programme promote the change management and the learning on integration, but there is a need of a systematic method to standardise the capacity building within Osakidetza ICO.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

3 Analysis of the outcomes

The consensus building method resulted in some changes to the individual scores of the local stakeholders. Comparing the means of the values of the individual assessments with the final scores, obtained after the negotiation and consensus process, we found changes bigger than 0.5 points in four out of the twelve dimensions. In three of them (Finance & Funding, Removal of Inhibitors and Population Approach), the final score is higher. The Readiness to Change is the only dimension where the mean and final score remained the same. We could conclude that although, initially, the stakeholders had very different opinions (probably due to the differences between their expertise and experiences) after listening to others in the workshop, some realised that they had been excessively critical. This suggested that although stakeholders work in the same healthcare system, they are not always aware of the perspectives of professionals from different departments.

![Figure 6: Means of individual questionnaires and final scores for each dimension](image)

The outcomes of the assessment process for the Basque Country can be summarised as follows:

1. The spider diagram for the Basque Country is quite homogeneous with no big discrepancies in stakeholders’ perceptions across most of the dimensions of SCIROCCO tool. It means that all of the stakeholders were broadly in agreement. The dimensions with the highest rates of consensus were Population Approach and Breadth of Ambition.

2. The outcomes of the self-assessment process suggested a very harmonised approach to integrated care in the region. The outcomes showed that there is progress towards integrated care across all of the dimensions of the SCIROCCO tool. Areas for improvement were identified that require further promotion: e.g. participation and empowerment of citizens and innovation management. Stakeholders also agreed that it was necessary to create the right environment between the different agents involved to improve their collaboration.

3. There are some specific factors in the region that need to be considered to better understand the strengths and weaknesses identified in the self-assessment process.
Since 2010 Osakidetza, aiming for an integrated care system, has moved towards a new organisational and management model with the unification of healthcare structures, population stratification, and integrated information systems, among other elements. This facilitates the process across all dimensions of the SCIROCCO tool and, specifically, the domain of Structure and Governance.

In addition, the adoption of tools for the assessment of continuity of care (e.g. IEXPAC, IEMAC, D’AMOUR, Framework contract) has facilitated a cultural change for Osakidetza’s professionals. The professionals have had to adopt new roles and face new challenges across the domains of eHealth Services and the Standardisation and Simplification.

Currently, the involvement of patients and citizens in the development of healthcare policies and services will become the main axis of the new model of care in the Basque Country.

4. The relationship and coordinated work between the health and social care sectors is crucial to guarantee continuity of care and it is very much dependent upon culture, social and organisational aspects of a particular healthcare system.

4  Key messages

The experts that participated valued the self-assessment process as a very positive experience. They appreciated its usefulness for enhancing negotiation and consensus building. It was agreed among the experts that the outcomes of the process realistically reflected the current state of the healthcare system in the Basque Country.

Some feedback statements from participants included:

“The self-assessment process is a reflection exercise.”

“When consensus is reached, extreme scores disappear and a more balanced score is reached”.

“The SCIROCCO self-assessment process has allowed us to contrast opinions with a group of multi-disciplinary and multi-level colleagues, and to dig deeper into the current situation of the region in relation to integrated care, making us aware of where we are.”

5  Conclusions and next steps

The SCIROCCO tool can be a very useful tool to assess the evolution and / or the level of integration that is taking place in healthcare systems. It can also help to facilitate the knowledge transfer process, by providing evidence in the form of the perceptions of the different stakeholders involved in the implementation of integrated care.

To conclude, the SCIROCCO tool can be useful as:

- A framework to transfer integrated care initiatives between different regions in Europe.
- A tool to facilitate sharing of good practices between organisations within a particular healthcare system.
• A monitoring tool to assess progress against the specific dimensions of integrated care.
• An awareness raising tool to capture the perceptions of different groups of professionals / stakeholders within the same organisation.

Having analysed the results obtained during the SCIROCCO self-assessment process, Osakidetza, specifically the Healthcare Directorate of Osakidetza, will aim to reflect on the usefulness of the tool for the Basque Country’s healthcare system. In particular, it is considering performing a test to analyse the usefulness of the SCIROCCO tool in the transfer of good practices among organisations within the region.